



BROMLEY CIVIC CENTRE, STOCKWELL CLOSE, BROMLEY BRI 3UH

TELEPHONE: 020 8464 3333

CONTACT: Kerry Nicholls  
*kerry.nicholls@bromley.gov.uk*

DIRECT LINE: 020 8313 4602

FAX: 020 8290 0608

DATE: Tuesday 17 September 2013

## **EDUCATION INFORMATION BRIEFING**

**Meeting to be held on Tuesday 17 September 2013**

### **QUESTIONS ON THE INFORMATION BRIEFING**

The Briefing comprises:

- 1 MINUTES OF THE EDUCATION BUDGET SUB COMMITTEE HELD ON 23RD JULY 2013** (Pages 3 - 8)
- 2 MINUTES OF THE BROMLEY BEHAVIOUR SERVICES WORKING GROUP HELD ON 23RD JULY 2013** (Pages 9 - 14)
- 3 ACHIEVING TWO YEAR OLDS CAPITAL ALLOCATION - UPDATE** (Pages 15 - 16)
- 4 ECS CONTRACT MONITORING REPORT LATEST UPDATE** (Pages 17 - 20)

Members and Co-opted Members have been provided with advanced copies of the Part 1 (Public) briefing via email. The Part 1 (Public) briefing is also available on the Council website at the following link: <http://cds.bromley.gov.uk/ieListMeetings.aspx?XXR=0&Year=2013&CId=559>

**Paper copies of this Information Briefing will not be available at the meeting of Education PDS Committee.**

**Information Items will not be debated at Education PDS Committee unless a member of the Committee requests a discussion be held. 24 hours notice must be given to the Clerk.**

This page is left intentionally blank

# Agenda Item 1

## EDUCATION BUDGET SUB-COMMITTEE

Minutes of the meeting held at 7.00 pm on 23 July 2013

### Present:

Councillor Neil Reddin FCCA (Chairman)  
Councillor Julian Benington (Vice-Chairman)  
Councillors Kathy Bance MBE, Nicholas Bennett J.P. and  
Julian Grainger

Councillor Stephen Wells, Portfolio Holder for Education

### Also Present:

Dr Tessa Moore, Assistant Director: Education  
David Bradshaw, Head of Education, Care and Health Services Finance  
James Mullender, Senior Accountant

#### **1 APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN AND VICE-CHAIRMAN**

**RESOLVED** that Councillor Neil Reddin FCCA be appointed Chairman and Councillor Julian Benington be appointed Vice-Chairman for the 2013/14 municipal year.

#### **2 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor David McBride.

#### **3 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

The Chairman noted that Declarations of Interest made by Members at the meeting of Education PDS Committee on 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2013 were taken as read.

#### **4 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ATTENDING THE MEETING**

No questions had been received from members of the public.

#### **5 MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 2ND MAY 2013 AND MATTERS ARISING**

In considering matters arising at previous meetings, it was confirmed that an item providing an overview of the Council's approach to project management had been

added to the work programme for Executive and Resources PDS Committee.

Councillor Nicholas Bennett JP highlighted the importance of attainment of Looked after Children in the Borough and suggested that it be considered at the meeting of Education PDS Committee on 30<sup>th</sup> January 2014.

**RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 2<sup>nd</sup> May 2013 be agreed.**

## **6 EDUCATION PORTFOLIO OUTTURN REPORT 2012/13**

### **Report ED12074**

The Sub-Committee considered a report outlining the final outturn position for the Education Portfolio for the 2012/13 financial year. This showed an underspend of £3,091,000 for the controllable element of the Education budget. A carry forward request had been made to the Council's Executive for £297,000, and should this be agreed, the final outturn position would be £2,794,000 for the 2012/13 financial year.

In considering the final outturn position for 2012/13, Councillor Nicholas Bennett JP congratulated the Education, Care and Health Services Department for the significant underspend in the controllable element of the Education Portfolio Budget. Members noted the carry forward requested totalling £297,000, and the Head of Education, Care and Health Services confirmed that this request had been made to fund essential repairs to Castlecombe and Mottingham Children's Centres. The Portfolio Holder for Education noted that consideration was being given to the wider use of children's centres across the Borough as key community hubs.

The Chairman noted the overspend of £21k by the Access and Admissions Service which was attributed to lower levels of staff turnover than budgeted for and printing of brochures, posters and application forms. The Assistant Director: Education confirmed that the move to an online school admissions process remained a priority, and that the overspend was partially due to the purchasing of licenses to support online schools admissions which would remain an ongoing cost.

The SEN and Inclusion Service had delivered an underspend of £695,000, primarily due to price increases below inflation, optimisation of route planning and a one-off overachievement of recoupment income. There was also a one-off underspend of £200k relating to previous years items. A Member queried the cost of £12k on vehicle repairs as Members understood that the SEN Transport service was completely outsourced.

Councillor Julian Grainger highlighted the increase in recharges to the Dedicated Schools Grant by £560k to £1,377k to cover additional fixed costs and recharges. The Head of Education, Care and Health Services Finance confirmed that this funding was comprised of recharges to the centrally retained element of the Schools Block Funding which covered the costs of the Local Authority in managing

services funded from the Dedicated Schools Grant. The level of funding had recently been reviewed to ensure the cost to the Local Authority was accurately reflected. Councillor Julian Grainger noted the total underspend of £5,426k on Dedicated Schools Grant Services in 2012/13, and it was confirmed that Dedicated Schools Grant funds must be spent on specific Education activities and that, subject to approval, these surplus funds might be given to schools as a one off payment, whilst some funds might be carried forward to offset future expected budgetary pressures. Any payment to schools from the Dedicated Schools Grant would include payment to academy schools, which would be provided via the Education Funding Agency.

In response to a question from a Member, the Head of Education, Care and Health Services Finance confirmed that the EDC Trading Account was no longer trading since the closure of the Education Development Centre in April 2013.

The Chairman suggested that a cross-Portfolio review might be undertaken of the broad range of services for children and young people, including Children's Centres and the Bromley Youth Support Programme to ensure there was no duplication of service provision and that services were targeted at those most in need.

**RESOLVED that:**

- 1) The underspend of £3,091, 000 on controllable expenditure at the end of 2012/13 be noted;**
- 2) The carry forward request to the Council's Executive for £297,000 be noted; and,**
- 3) The Education Portfolio Outturn Report 2012/13 be recommended to the Portfolio Holder for Education for approval.**

**7 EDUCATION PORTFOLIO BUDGET MONITORING REPORT  
2013/14**

**Report ED13075**

The Sub-Committee considered a report setting out the budget monitoring position for the Education Portfolio based on expenditure to the end of May 2013. The Schools' Budget, funded from the Dedicated Schools' Grant and specific grants, was forecast to be in an underspend position of £458,000, which would be carried forward into the next financial year. The controllable part of the Non-Schools' Budget, funded from Council Tax, Revenue Support and specific grants, was forecast to be in an underspend position of £89,000.

In considering the Education Portfolio Budget Monitoring Report 2013/14, Members requested that a breakdown be provided of the total Non Controllable Budget spend as at May 2013. Councillor Nicholas Bennett JP also noted the need to ensure that Total Non Controllable Budget spend continued to reflect the

make-up of the Education Division as the needs and number of Education staff changed over time. Following discussion, Members requested that the current and future apportionment of Council recharges be reported to a future meeting of the Executive and Resources PDS Committee to support the most efficient use of Local Authority resources.

**RESOLVED that:**

- 1) The current and future apportionment of Council recharges be reported to a future meeting of the Executive and Resources PDS Committee;**
- 2) The latest 2013/14 budget projection for the Education Portfolio be noted; and,**
- 3) The Education Portfolio Budget Monitoring Report 2013/14 be recommended to the Portfolio Holder for Education for approval.**

**8 EDUCATION PORTFOLIO INFORMATION ITEMS**

**Report ED13076**

The Sub-Committee considered an information briefing outlining the results of the Government's Spending Review which were announced in June 2013. A 1% cut in funding for Local Government had been agreed for 2014/15 and further guidance was awaited from the Department for Communities and Local Government as to how this would be applied. Further cuts of £11.5bn across Government spending had also been agreed for 2015/16, and within this Local Government expenditure would be reduced by 10%. It was noted that Bromley was a low grant Local Authority and had historically received a higher proportion of cuts than the average. The Local Authority had grant damping protection of £12.6m in place to mitigate the impact on grants of the high number of pupils at academy schools in the Borough.

Funding would be made available in 2014/15 and 2015/16 for those Local Authorities who did not increase Council Tax, with local authorities receiving a grant worth the equivalent of 1% increase. There would also be a £200m extension of the Tackling Troubled Families programme through the payment by results scheme. There would be real terms protection of the Schools' budget and Pupil Premium, however the Education Services Grant would be reduced by £200m in 2015/16, which represented a cut of around 25%. Conversion of schools to academy status would continue to be supported and funding would also be available for 180 new Free Schools, 20 new Studio Schools and 20 new University Technical Colleges each year. The Early Years 15 hours a week of free early education for all three and four year olds would be maintained and free entitlement extended to the most disadvantaged two year olds would be maintained for September 2013 and increased to around 40% in 2014/15.

In considering the Information Briefing, the Assistant Director: Education confirmed

that the Council's Commissioning Group was currently looking at the future delivery of a range of Education sold services. It was possible that these services would be commissioned as part of a bundle of services, and Councillor Julian Grainger noted the importance of ensuring that these proposed bundles of services did not preclude locally-based third sector or private providers from bidding to deliver services.

**RESOLVED that the information briefing be noted.**

## **9 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

In considering the work programme for the Education Budget Sub-Committee for 2013/14, Members requested that reports on the Access and Admissions Service and Annual School Reserves be provided to the next meeting of the Sub-Committee on 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013. Members also requested that a report on Special Educational Needs be provided to the meeting of the Sub-Committee on 7<sup>th</sup> January 2014.

Councillor Julian Grainger noted an issue that schools in the Chelsfield and Pratts Bottom Ward were experiencing around funding for pupils with special educational needs and this would be addressed outside of the meeting by the Assistant Director: Education.

**RESOLVED that the issues raised be noted.**

## **10 DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of Education Budget Sub-Committee would be held at 7.00pm on Wednesday 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013.

The Meeting ended at 7.52 pm

Chairman

This page is left intentionally blank



# Agenda Item 2

## EDUCATION PDS COMMITTEE: BROMLEY BEHAVIOUR SERVICES WORKING GROUP

Minutes of the meeting held at 5.00pm on 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2013

### Present

Councillor Nicholas Bennett JP (Chairman)  
Councillor Peter Fortune  
Councillor Sarah Phillips  
Darren Jenkins – Co-opted Member representing Parent Governors  
Graham Ingram – Head Teacher, Burwood School  
Paul Murphy – Head Teacher, Ravensbourne School

### Also present

Councillor Robert Evans – Portfolio Holder for Care Services  
Councillor Stephen Wells – Portfolio Holder for Education  
Dr Tessa Moore – Assistant Director (Education)  
David Bradshaw – Head of Education and Care Services Finance  
John Burrell – Interim Head of the Behaviour Service  
Jo Twine – Project Manager, SEN and Disability Service  
Suzanne White – Administrator, Perducta  
Kerry Nicholls – Democratic Services Officer

### 1. ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

Councillor Nicholas Bennett JP was appointed Chairman of the Working Group for the 2013/14 municipal year.

### 2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND NOTIFICATION OF ALTERNATE MEMBERS

Apologies for absence were received from Paula Farrow – Head Teacher, Farnborough Primary School, Patrick Foley – Head Teacher, Southborough Primary School and Neil Miller – Head of School, The Priory School

### 3. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the meeting held on 2<sup>nd</sup> May 2013 were confirmed.

### 5. OPTIONS FOR THE FUTURE OF BEHAVIOUR SERVICES: WORKSHOP

The Project Manager, SEN and Disability Service led a workshop to evaluate a range of options for the future delivery of Behaviour Services in Bromley agreed at the meeting of Bromley Behaviour Services Working Group on 2<sup>nd</sup> May 2013.

Each option was considered against seven key principles reflecting the needs and responsibilities of pupils, schools and the Local Authority. Following discussion by the Working Group, the key principles within each option were given a ranking score comprising 1 point (principle not met by option), 5 points (principle part/maybe met) and 10 points (principle met).

The Members of the Working Group evaluated a range of options for future delivery of behaviour services across the Borough.

Option B: Mainstream schools join a single trust/mutual run by schools for schools with the Local Authority commissioning statutory functions from it

Members of the Working Group noted that this model would be driven by mainstream schools working collaboratively. The Local Authority would still be required to fulfil its statutory functions, however its only role within this model would be as a commissioning authority. This model could support a reduced level of exclusions through schools taking a collaborative approach to early intervention and exploring alternatives to permanent exclusion. It was important to ensure that a return to mainstream schooling was the key aim of any alternative provision and members of the Working Group were advised that the progress of a pupil in a Pupil Referral Unit was judged against the pupil's mainstream provision, ensuring that schools retained a stake in a pupil's progress when they were placed in a Pupil Referral Unit. It was noted that a collaborative of schools would be better placed to access funds for an alternative provision than the Local Authority.

Option E: Existing EBD school expanded to create all-through EBD provision and manage secondary Pupil Referral Unit (PRU) and behaviour service

In considering this model, members of the Working Group highlighted that it was likely that any provision for pupils with emotional and behavioural difficulties (EBD) was likely to convert to academy status in time. It was also noted that an EBD school was unlikely to have the wide ranging expertise needed to also manage a secondary PRU and behaviour service. Within this model there would be no incentive for a reduction in the levels of permanent exclusion. An existing Local Authority maintained provision was unlikely to be granted capital funding before any move to academy status.

Option F: Existing special school or special school trust to host secondary behaviour service and secondary PRU and turn primary PRU into a primary EBD school

In discussion, Members of the Working Group generally agreed that this model would face similar issues to Option E.

Option G: Seek an academy chain sponsor to host secondary PRU and behaviour service. EBD primary school?

In considering this model, members of the Working Group noted that schools would be required to purchase pupil places from the academy school. If this proved high cost, it might encourage schools to support pupils back into mainstream schooling more quickly; however it might also discourage schools from seeking early intervention for pupils. It could be difficult to identify an academy sponsor to host both an EBD and Pupil Referral Unit provision as these had distinct business models. Academy chain schools also often preferred to work with schools in their own group rather than sell places in any provision to other schools, which could act as a barrier to identifying a sponsor. Within this model there would be no incentive for a reduction in the levels of permanent exclusion. It was noted that an academy chain would be better

placed to access funds for an alternative provision than the Local Authority.

Option H: Outsource to third or private sector

In discussion, Members of the Working Group noted that any provision outsourced to the third or private sector would be in competition with the Harris Aspire provision which could help reduce costs of places in any alternative provision; however it was likely any third or private sector provider would seek an exclusivity agreement from schools before committing to develop provision in the Borough. Within this model there would be no incentive for a reduction in the levels of permanent exclusion. An outsourced provision was also unlikely to secure capital funding.

Option J: New AEP free school/studio school (Academy) to provide services to permanently or fixed term exclusions

In discussion, Members of the Working Group considered whether an AEP free school/studio school (academy) would deliver education provision at the right academic level for pupils who would otherwise be accessing a Pupil Referral Unit provision. The Local Authority would still be required to fulfil its statutory functions, however its only role within this model would be as an admissions authority. It was noted that an academy school would be better placed to access funds for an alternative provision than the Local Authority.

Option K: Full delegation of funding to all schools, LA kept medical

Members of the Working Group noted that a full delegation of funding could result in smaller schools receiving an insufficient share of funds to support early intervention or Pupil Referral Unit provision for their pupils. The Local Authority would still be required to fulfil its statutory obligations but would have no control over how a school chose to support a pupil's needs. Schools would also not necessarily have expertise in behaviour services when making decisions about their pupil's needs. This model would also make it difficult to track a child's progress as they moved to alternative provision. There was no provision model within this option so no capital funding could be secured.

Following the evaluation process, the options were ranked in order of highest score (the completed Evaluation Matrix is attached as **Appendix 1**).

1 <sup>st</sup>	Option J: New AEP free school/studio school (Academy) to provide services to permanently or fixed term exclusions (41 pts)
2 <sup>nd</sup> (Joint)	Option G: Seek an academy chain sponsor to host secondary PRU and behaviour service. EBD primary school? (33 pts)  Option H: Outsource to third or private sector (33 pts)
3 <sup>rd</sup>	Option B: Mainstream schools join a single trust/mutual run by schools for schools with the Local Authority commissioning statutory functions from it (32 pts)
4 <sup>th</sup> (Joint)	Option E: Existing EBD school expanded to create all-through EBD provision and manage secondary PRU and behaviour

	<p>service (20 pts)</p> <p>Option F: Existing special school or special school trust to host secondary behaviour service and secondary PRU and turn primary PRU into a primary EBD school (20 pts)</p> <p>Option K: Full delegation of funding to all schools, LA kept medical (20 pts)</p>
--	---

In considering the outcome of the evaluation process, Members noted that the new AEP free school/studio school (academy) had received the highest score. No provider for this potential new provision had yet been identified, and it was also underlined that currently there was no recognised demand or cohort for a new AEP free school/studio school (academy).

Paul Murphy – Head Teacher, Ravensbourne School confirmed that Head Teachers had expressed a preference for a model which supported collaboration between schools. It was expected that the majority of secondary schools in the Borough would participate in any collaborative provision, excluding the Harris Academy Schools who would access the Harris Aspire provision for their own students.

In considering the need for a Primary PRU, it was noted that the current Primary PRU had been utilised as an EBD provision and it was important to ensure the right early intervention processes were in place at a Primary level to support pupils back into mainstream education and reduce the number of pupils developing more complex needs as they progressed to Secondary education. The Project Manager, SEN and Disability Service advised members of the Working Group that some Primary School Head Teachers had expressed a preference for an outreach service to run in their schools as a way of providing early intervention services to their pupils.

The Chairman underlined the need for more information to be provided around the number of Primary age pupils who would benefit from an assessment and intervention process, and also to explore the intervention experience of Year 7 and 8 pupils currently accessing PRU services during their Primary age schooling (**Action: ECHS**)

Following the consideration of the Working Group it was **AGREED** to develop a more detailed business case for Options B, G, H and J. Detailed consultation materials would be developed for schools around these options and would be considered at the next meeting of the Bromley Behaviour Services Working Group prior to the consultation being launched.

## 6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

A range of additional information had been provided to members of the Working Group in advance of the meeting around best practice for behaviour management across Bromley schools and breakdown of staffing and pupil attendance at Kingswood and Grovelands.

The Portfolio Holder for Education was pleased to announce that a uniform

would be introduced at the Grovelands PRU provision from September 2013.

#### **7. DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The date of the next meeting of the Bromley Behaviour Services Working Group would be held at 5.00pm on Wednesday 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013.

The meeting ended at 6.49pm

This page is left intentionally blank

## London Borough of Bromley

### PART 1 - PUBLIC

#### Briefing for Education Services Policy Development and Scrutiny Committee 17<sup>th</sup> September 2013

#### Achieving Two Year Olds' Capital Allocation

Contact Officer: Nina Newell Interim Head of Schools and Early Years Quality Assurance and Commissioning  
Tel: 020 8461 7275/4038 E-mail: nina.newell@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Terry Parkin, Executive Director, Education, Care and Health Services

#### 1. Summary

A briefing was provided in March 2013, outlining the intended process for the £557,903 of capital funding that has been allocated to Early Years. We are providing an update because the types of scheme that have been put forward are different than those expected and outlined in the original briefing.

The capital funding is intended to support:

- the provision of an increased number of free places for two year old children who meet specific criteria;
- the building of capacity to deliver additional places for 2 year olds within Private, Voluntary, Independent and Maintained Early Years' provision in response to this becoming statutory for 20% of two year olds in September 2013, increasing from 10 to 15 hours and increasing to 40% of two year olds from 2014;

#### 2. Background

- 2.1 Free Early Education (FEE) for two year olds becomes statutory in September 2013 for the 20% of two year olds whose parents are in receipt of benefits eligible for Free School Meals and also Looked After Children (LAC). This will increase to 40% of two year olds in September 2014.
- 2.2 The Council will have the same statutory duty to provide sufficient places for eligible two year olds, as it does for the universal provision for three and four year olds.

#### 3. Briefing

- 3.1 Further to our previous briefing provided in March, we have completed the initial process of calling for Expressions of Interest (EOI's) from all providers in receiving capital funding.
- 3.2 EOI's have been received to date from 47 providers, for expenditure totalling £976k.
- 3.3 All schemes were moderated using the criteria outlined in the previous briefing. The criteria was weighted to specifically support provision in the areas of greatest need, creation of additional places and resources for two year olds.
- 3.4 In the March briefing, we advised that the expenditure required was likely to be limited to small items such as:

- Room dividers
- Storage
- Age/height appropriate resources
- Changing stations
- Safety alterations

3.5 Although many of the EOI have been for these items, we have had thirteen submissions for capital build of over £15k, four of which are over £100k. Previously, we have entered into clawback agreements for any capital scheme of £15k or over and intend to use the same process for this capital funding.

3.6 Below are a summary of the four schemes that are over £100k: these schemes have been evaluated and will provide much needed places for funded two year olds. Feasibility studies will be undertaken and provided they can be delivered on time and in budget they will go ahead.

(i) Blossom Years Day Nursery - Chislehurst

£128k for a modular build to accommodation 20 additional two year olds

(ii) Honeys Nursery – Biggin Hill

£130k for a modular build to accommodation 18 additional two year olds

(iii) Southborough Pre-School – Bromley Common and Keston

£130k for a modular build to accommodation 18 additional two year olds

(iv) Orpington Priory Nursery – Orpington

£100k for contribution to the renovation of the Library

The proposal is to provide a new Day Care Nursery facility at the old Orpington Library as part of a larger scheme, led by Leisure Services which will form part of a full report submitted by them in due course.

This will provide a valuable and much needed childcare facility in the Orpington area, including additional places for funded two year olds, which we are keen to support.

The project is currently at feasibility study stage, with options appraisal and outline cost estimates. Full Planning Permission will eventually be required and if this project goes ahead the early years' aspect will be subject to tender for the Day Care Nursery provision.

3.7 Work is likely to commence on smaller capital build and resources in the Autumn Term. For the larger schemes, new places will not be available until 2014.

3.8 Further updates will be provided as required, and by March 2014 at the latest.



London Borough of Bromley

PART 1 - PUBLIC

Briefing for Education Portfolio Holder  
17 September 2013

**EDUCATION AND CARE SERVICES CONTRACT REPORTS –  
EDUCATION CONTRACTS**

Contact Officer: Laurence Downes, Commissioning Manager Education and Children’s Social Care  
Tel: 020 83134805 E-mail: laurence.downes@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Terry Parkin, Director Education and Care Services

1. Summary

- 1.1 The Executive and Resources Policy Development and Scrutiny (PDS) Committee has agreed a template report for London Borough of Bromley Contracts. The report details the current status of all contracts with a whole life value of £200k or more, with all relevant contracts identified from the Contract Register.
- 1.2 Executive and Resources PDS has recommended that the PDS Committee for each portfolio reviews the Contract Register report relevant to their portfolio, providing any comments as appropriate.
- 1.3 The Contract Register report for all contracts relevant to the Education Portfolio is included as **Appendix One** to this paper. In addition, the paper provides a brief update on planned contract activity over the next six months.

2. **THE BRIEFING**

- 2.1 **Appendix One** details all current contracts relevant to the Education Portfolio with a whole life value of £200k or more.
- 2.2 The following table details other Education related contracts with values below £200k but which are of note and that will require action within the next six months. The status is as at the time of writing of this report in August 2013. They are:

Provider	Service	End Date	Annual Value	Actions
Various	Flexible Learning Provision	31/07/2013	£0 (call-off)	Contract Awards to be finalised following tendering process for Flexible Learning framework for a September 2014 start.
Fleet Tutors	Looked After Children	30/09/2013	£48,000	Initial exploration as to whether service could be sourced through

<b>Provider</b>	<b>Service</b>	<b>End Date</b>	<b>Annual Value</b>	<b>Actions</b>
	Education			Framework arrangement as part of an overall supply teacher contract. This proposal has been delayed and therefore either a three quotes process or extension for the current service will need to be put in place.
Various	School Improvement	31/03/2014	£0 (call-off)	Range of small value school improvement advisor contracts awarded on an individual basis. Formal framework or approved list to be put in place.
Capita	SIMS Maintenance	31/03/2014	£74,000	Bromley LA purchases annual SIMS maintenance package and recharges to schools. Decision to be made as to whether this arrangement will continue.

No.	ID	Department	Title	Suppliers	Duration Months	Duration Years	End Date (inc extension)	Original Contract Total Value £	Original Annual Contract Value £	No of Waivers	Value of Contract Waivers Approved	No of Variations / Extensions	Value of Variations / Extensions	2013/14 Budget £	2013/14 Projected £	Cost Difference £	Comments
60	Contract-049865	Education and Care Services_Children's Social Care	Young Offenders Intensive Surveillance & Supervision	NACRO	36	3	31-Mar-14	£213,000	£71,000	0	£0	1	£71,000	£71,000	£71,000	£0	Contract awarded for 2 years by exemption in 2011 which included authorisation for option to extend for one year. Extension option of one year approved at Education PDS January 2013. Current provider has resources to deliver the regulatory requirement within service provision. Contract allows for CPI increases, however CPI not awarded to date.
64	Contract-049880	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Weekend and Holiday Provision for children and young people with learning and/or physical disabilities	Riverside School	24	2	31-Mar-14	£496,812	£248,406	0	£0	0	0	£248,406	£248,406	£0	Riverside School is a Bromley maintained special school. A two year contract was awarded via exemption following Portfolio Holder approval and PDS scrutiny commencing April 2012. Following consultation with Corporate Procurement, it was agreed a direct commissioning strategy was appropriate in order to utilise existing LBB resources in care/educational settings for LD children and young people.  (History: The contract was originally awarded for one year via exemption to Riverside School in May 2010, following approval from the Portfolio Holder and PDS scrutiny, and extended for a further year to March 2012 again following PDS and Portfolio Holder approval. ). Contract allows for CPI increases, however CPI not awarded to date.
65	Contract-049874	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Speech and Language Provision	Bromley Healthcare	47	3.92	31-Jul-14	£519,438	£310,538	2	£554,943	2	£438,538	£310,538	£310,538	£0	Approval has been given via Education PDS via Exemption to award a one year contract, commencing August 2013, to align with CCG commissioning timescales. (History: A large number of individual small value contracts held with Bromley Healthcare were collated into a single contract and awarded via exemption in 2010/2011. This contract was extended to March 2012. A six month contract was awarded via exemption commencing April 2012. This contract was extended by a further year until July 2013 and combined with another relevant contract to make up a single contract, following Portfolio Holder and PDS scrutiny in June 2012. 2013/14 approval aligns to CCG timescales). Contract allows for CPI increases, however CPI not awarded to date.
66	Contract-050005	Education and Care Services_Children's Social Care	Provision of Music Education	Bromley Youth Music Trust	120	10	31-Mar-17	£5,180,975	£756,332	0	£0	0	£0	£310,440	£310,440	0	There is a significant difference between the original annual contract value quoted and the confirmed 2013/14 Budget and Projected spend. This is because in prior years the Department for Education Music Grant was routed through LBB and included in the contract value for this provision. From 2012/13, this funding is now passed directly to the provider from the Arts Council. The budget has reduced further in 2013/14 following agreement by Members to reduce the Bromley funding by £40k.
67	Contract-030022	Education and Care Services Education	Langley Park Boys School BSF One School Pathfinder	J B Leadbitter & Co Ltd	32	2.67	31-Jul-13	£27,674,280	£10,377,855	0	£0	75	£1,954,549	£1,718,000	£1,718,000	0	Contract ends July 2013. Contract includes 3% retention to be released following final account. There are a number of disputed items that are still being negotiated and some works have been omitted from the contract and will be delivered separately. Budget funded primarily by DfE BSF Grant. The project end date was extended due to additional works required (asbestos and water leaks). High level variations include planning changes due to judicial review (£470k), Asbestos management (£507k), diversion/extension to LPSG / Water services (£244k), SALTO security system amendment (£185k). Full budget commitment of £38.3m. Outstanding claim on contract which is subject to formal consideration and may result in additional costs.
68	Contract-031120	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	P J Yeoull	60	5	31-Aug-15	£237,525	£45,732	0	£0	0	£0	£63,780.00	£63,780.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
69	Contract-031116	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	Ladybirds	60	5	31-Aug-15	£262,943	£47,129	0	£0	0	£0	£19,444.00	£19,444.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
70	Contract-031118	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	Lightning Cars	60	5	31-Aug-15	£519,140	£117,314	0	£0	0	£0	£98,877.00	£98,877.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
71	Contract-031101	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	21st Century Cars	60	5	31-Aug-15	£602,860	£104,290	0	£0	0	£0	£167,756.00	£167,756.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.

No.	ID	Department	Title	Suppliers	Duration Months	Duration Years	End Date (inc extension)	Original Contract Total Value £	Original Annual Contract Value £	No of Waivers	Value of Contract Waivers Approved	No of Variations / Extensions	Value of Variations / Extensions	2013/14 Budget £	2013/14 Projected £	Cost Difference £	Comments
72	Contract-031125	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	The Keen Group	60	5	31-Aug-15	£620,278	£124,892	0	£0	0	£0	£149,711.00	£149,711.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
73	Contract-031105	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	Cannon Cars	60	5	31-Aug-15	£909,163	£160,050	0	£0	0	£0	£165,596.00	£165,596.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
74	Contract-031123	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	Stage Two	60	5	31-Aug-15	£1,216,995	£254,333	0	£0	0	£0	£200,597.00	£200,597.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
75	Contract-031100	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	1st Class Cars	60	5	31-Aug-15	£1,432,150	£270,485	0	£0	0	£0	£312,390.00	£312,390.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
76	Contract-031112	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	Centaur Overland Travel	60	5	31-Aug-15	£1,685,228	£339,108	0	£0	0	£0	£370,790.00	£370,790.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
77	Contract-031115	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	Kensway Tours	60	5	31-Aug-15	£1,940,720	£400,979	0	£0	0	£0	£306,125.00	£306,125.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
78	Contract-031114	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	Kelly Kar Hire	60	5	31-Aug-15	£2,059,350	£436,396	0	£0	0	£0	£443,209.00	£443,209.00	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
79	Contract-031124	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	Stratfords Private Hire	60	5	31-Aug-15	£2,162,480	£426,850	0	£0	0	£0	£431,717	£431,717	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
80	Contract-031121	Education and Care Services_Children's SEN & Disability	Home to School Transport for children with Special Educational Needs	Ruskin Private Hire Ltd	60	5	31-Aug-15	£2,376,960	£420,142	0	£0	0	£0	£420,142	£420,142	0	SEN Transport is sourced through a framework contract with multiple providers. The routes for each provider are agreed on an annual basis and will vary significantly from year to year. The annual contract value quoted on the Register is the most recently available academic year actual (2012/13) and is updated each year as routes are confirmed. The whole life value is extrapolated from the actual spend to date and updated each year. No CPI uplift built into the framework contract.
81	Contract-048679	Education and Care Services_Children's Social Care	Post 16 Learner Tracker and Transition Support	Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames	21	1.75	31-Mar-14	£105,000	£105,000	0	£0	1	£79,900	£79,900	£79,900	0	Services provision is a statutory requirement. Contract managed under a 5 Borough shared services arrangement. Comparable in-house provision uneconomical (est. 40% increase). The contract was awarded via Exemption for a 2012/13 9 month period following approval by PDS for at a part year value of £105,000 (full year value of £140,000) with option to extend for 1 year The Extension period has been taken at a reduced annual value of £79,900.